

The Honorable Kymberly K. Evanson

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

STEVEN FLOYD, JOLENE FURDEK, and
JONATHAN RYAN, on behalf of themselves
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

AMAZON.COM, INC. and APPLE INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-01599-KKE

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME

STIPULATED MOTION

18 Plaintiffs Steven Floyd, Jolene Furdek, and Jonathan Ryan and Defendants Amazon.com,
19 Inc. and Apple Inc. (together, “Defendants,” and collectively with Plaintiffs, the “Parties”), by
20 and through their counsel, stipulate as follows:

21 1. Under the current schedule, fact discovery is set to close on February 26, 2025,
22 with class certification briefing to follow. No case deadlines beyond class certification have
23 been set.

24 2. The Parties request a limited extension of the schedule to afford additional time to
25 complete fact discovery.

26 3. Good cause exists for a modest extension because the remaining fact and Rule
27 30(b)(6) depositions could only be scheduled after the current fact discovery deadline in order to

1 adjust for and accommodate witness availability. The extension will also allow the parties time
 2 to complete third party discovery. The extension of fact discovery will require adjustment of the
 3 dates for class certification briefing, but no other dates have yet been set in this case.

4 4. The Parties propose the following schedule:

Event	Current Date	Proposed Date
Fact discovery cutoff	2/26/2025	4/14/2025
Class certification motion and supporting reports	3/28/2025	5/14/2025
Class certification opposition and supporting reports	5/30/2025	8/14/2025
Class certification reply and reply reports	8/15/2025	11/14/2025

11
 12 This schedule includes an adjustment of the cadence for class certification briefs because
 13 Defendants believe it will allow them sufficient time to depose any experts Plaintiffs may put
 14 forth in connection with their motion for class certification.

15 5. In order to streamline the remainder of fact discovery, Parties additionally
 16 stipulate and agree to the following limitations:

17 a. For the Defendants' deposition of Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs' depositions of
 18 Defendants, including both fact depositions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(1) and corporate
 19 depositions pursuant to 30(b)(6), there will be a 7-hour maximum time limit for each witness's
 20 deposition, regardless of whether the witness is sitting in their individual capacity, corporate
 21 capacity, or both at the same time.

22 b. The parties have stipulated that Plaintiffs may take twelve fact witness
 23 depositions, which are the currently scheduled up-to five fact witnesses per side and one Rule
 24 30(b)(6) deposition per defendant.¹ The Defendants may designate an already scheduled fact
 25 witness deposition on some or all of the categories of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. The Parties will
 26

27 ¹ Defendants reserve the right to challenge the appropriateness of the depositions currently
 noticed that have not yet occurred.

1 not serve additional notices of Rule 30(b)(1) or Rule 30(b)(6) depositions. This limitation
 2 applies only to party fact depositions and does not apply to expert depositions or third-party
 3 depositions. The Parties may only serve additional party fact depositions notices upon mutual
 4 agreement of the Parties or following a motion showing good cause.

5 c. Each party has served the other Party (or Parties) with the written
 6 discovery (e.g., requests for production, interrogatories, requests for admissions) that they intend
 7 to serve at this time. However, there may be a need for limited written discovery to follow up on
 8 issues raised during the Parties' 30(b)(6) deposition negotiations (such as serving requests for
 9 written discovery that previously were topics for 30(b)(6) depositions), for new issues that might
 10 arise during depositions, or for narrow "clean-up" discovery. Discovery should be served as
 11 soon as possible after a Party identifies a need in order to facilitate timely meeting and conferring
 12 on objections, the scope of responses, or the documents to be produced.

13 d. The Parties shall complete any third-party discovery by the updated
 14 deadline to complete fact discovery.

15
 16 STIPULATED to and JOINTLY submitted this 7th day of February, 2025.
 17

18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed
 19 Class

20 By:/s/ Steve W. Berman
 21 Steve W. Berman, WSBA #12536
 22 Barbara A. Mahoney, WSBA #31845
 23 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO
 24 LLP
 25 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000
 26 Seattle, WA 98101
 27 Ph: (206) 623-7292; Fax: (206) 623-0594
 Email: steve@hbsslaw.com
 Email: barbaram@hbsslaw.com

28
 29 Ben Harrington (Pro Hac Vice)
 30 Benjamin Siegel (Pro Hac Vice)
 31 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO
 32 LLP

1
2
3
4
5 Attorneys for AMAZON.COM, INC.
6

7 By: /s/ John Goldmark
8

John Goldmark, WSBA #40980
MaryAnn Almeida, WSBA #49086
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300
Seattle, Washington, 98104
Phone: (206) 622-3150
Fax: (206) 757-7700
Email: johngoldmark@dwt.com
maryannalmeida@dwt.com

13 By: /s/ Benjamin M. Mundel
14

Mark D. Hopson (pro hac vice)
Benjamin M. Mundel (pro hac vice)
Jacquelyn E. Fradette (pro hac vice)
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Phone: (202) 736-8000
Fax: (202) 736-8711
Email: mhopson@sidley.com
bmundel@sidley.com
jfradette@sidley.com

715 Hearst Ave, Suite 300
Berkeley, CA 94710
Ph: (510) 725-3000; Fax: (510) 725-3001
Email: benh@hbsslaw.com
Email: bens@hbsslaw.com

Attorneys for APPLE INC.

By: /s/ Mark S. Parris

Mark S. Parris (WSBA No. 18370)
mparris@orrick.com
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
401 Union Street, Suite 3300
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: +1 206 839 4300
Facsimile: +1 206 839 4301

Mark A. Perry (*pro hac vice*)
WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP
2001 M. Street NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036
Phone: (202) 682-7000
mark.perry@weil.com

Brian G. Liegel (*pro hac vice*)
WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP
1395 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1200
Miami, FL 33131
Phone: (305) 577-3180
brian.liegel@weil.com

Morgan D. MacBride (*pro hac vice*)
WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Phone: (650) 802-3044
morgan.macbride@weil.com

ORDER

The parties' stipulated motion is GRANTED. Dkt. No. 189. The previous case schedule is VACATED (Dkt. No. 167), and the case shall now conform to the following schedule:

Event	Deadline
Fact discovery cutoff	4/14/2025
Class certification motion and supporting reports	5/14/2025
Class certification opposition and supporting reports	8/14/2025
Class certification reply and reply reports	11/14/2025

Dated this 11th day of February, 2025.

Kimberly K. Dawson

Kymberly K. Evanson
United States District Judge